#### **Bachelor Projects in the HIPERFIT Research Center**

Developing and Improving the "HIPERFIT Portfolio Management Prototype"

The "HIPERFIT Portfolio Management Prototype" is a System for Managing and Pricing Portfolios of Over-the-Counter Financial Contracts

Additional information about the HIPERFIT Research Center and the HIPERFIT Portfolio Management Prototype February, 2016

Danil Annenkov and Martin Elsman (supervisors) Department of Computer Science University of Copenhagen (DIKU)



# The HIPERFIT Context

The HIPERFIT Research Center conducts research (with input from our industrial partners) in the span between

**FP:** Functional programming and programming language semantics (e.g., type systems and domain-specific languages)

FM: Finance mathematics

**HP:** High-performance and parallel computing (e.g., GPGPU programming)



**The Prototype:** The *HIPERFIT Portfolio Management Prototype* integrates a number of HIPERFIT research efforts and seeks to demonstrate the application of the research in practice, including:

- Domain-specific languages for contract management
- Certified program development
- High-performance numeric computations on GPGPUs

Come join the HIPERFIT team in developing the system further! There a many possibilities for extending the usefulness of the system - look at the next slides for possible projects...



# What is HIPERFIT?

Research Center funded by the Danish Council for Strategic Research (DSF) in cooperation with financial industry partners:



HIPERFIT: Functional High Performance Computing for Financial IT.

- Six years lifespan:

2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016

- Funding volume: 5.8M EUR.
- 78% funding from DSF, 22% from partners and university.
- 8(10+) Ph.D. + 2(0) post-doctoral positions (CS and Mathematics).
- Funding for collaboration with small/medium-sized businesses.



# **HIPERFIT Projects and Vision**

**Financial Contract Specification (DIKU, IMF)** Use declarative combinators for specifying and analyzing financial contracts.

Automatic Loop Parallelization (DIKU) Outperform commercial compilers on a large number of benchmarks by parallelizing and optimizing imperative loop structures.

#### Parallelization of Financial Applications (DIKU, LexiFi)

Analyze real-world financial kernels, such as exotic option pricing, and parallelize them to run on GPGPUs.

**Streaming Nested Data Parallelism (DIKU)** Reduce space complexity of "embarrassingly parallel" functional computations by streaming.

**Risk (IMF, DIKU, SimCorp)** Parallelize calculation of VaR and exposure to counterparty credit risk.



A Functional Data-Parallel Programming Language

#### **Bohrium (NBI)**

Collect and optimize bytecode instructions at runtime and thereby efficiently execute vectorized applications independent of programming language and platform.

> **Key-Ratios by AD (DIKU)** Use automatic differentiation for computing sensibilities to market changes for financial contracts.

#### APL Compilation (DIKU, Insight Systems, SimCorp)

Develop techniques for compiling arrays, specifically a subset of APL, to run efficiently on GPGPUs and multi-core processors.

**Big Data – Efficient queries** (DIKU, SimCorp) Parallelize big data queries. **Optimal Decisions in Household Finance** (Math, Nykredit, FinE) Develop quantitative methods to solve individual household's financial decision problems.

# **HIPERFIT Projects and Vision**

**Financial Contract Specification (DIKU, IMF)** Use declarative combinators for specifying and analyzing financial contracts.

Automatic Loop Parallelization (DIKU) Outperform commercial compilers on a large number of benchmarks by parallelizing and optimizing imperative loop structures.

#### Parallelization of Financial Applications (DIKU, LexiFi)

Analyze real-world financial kernels, such as exotic option pricing, and parallelize them to run on GPGPUs.

**Streaming Nested Data Parallelism (DIKU)** Reduce space complexity of "embarrassingly parallel" functional computations by streaming.

**Risk (IMF, DIKU, SimCorp)** Parallelize calculation of VaR and exposure to counterparty credit risk.



A Functional Data-Parallel Programming Language

#### **Bohrium (NBI)**

Collect and optimize bytecode instructions at runtime and thereby efficiently execute vectorized applications independent of programming language and platform.

> **Key-Ratios by AD (DIKU)** Use automatic differentiation for computing sensibilities to market changes for financial contracts.

#### APL Compilation (DIKU, Insight Systems, SimCorp)

Develop techniques for compiling arrays, specifically a subset of APL, to run efficiently on GPGPUs and multi-core processors.

**Big Data – Efficient queries** (DIKU, SimCorp) Parallelize big data queries. **Optimal Decisions in Household Finance** (Math, Nykredit, FinE) Develop quantitative methods to solve individual household's financial decision problems.

# Why a HIPERFIT Prototype Framework?

**Motivation:** Develop a framework that allows for experimenting with solutions to key challenges in the financial industry, including contract management, portfolio analytics, and parallel Monte Carlo techniques for contract and portfolio evaluation and for calculating risk measures.

#### **Benefits of a Prototype**

- 1. Research results to the test
- 2. Projects unite
- 3. Visibility
- 4. Student activities
- 5. Giving back to society (open source)



**HIPERFIT Goal:** In two years time, we would like our partners, and industrial peers, to look towards HIPERFIT to find parallel (i.e., scalable) techniques for solving demanding computational problems within the domain of finance.



### **Prototype Ideas**

#### **Main idea:** Build a solution for managing and pricing overthe-counter (OTC) financial contracts.

(resembling LexiFi Apropos and SimCorp's XpressInstruments)

- 1. Build the system around the concept of a "live" **portfolio** of contracts.
- 2. As time goes by, the portfolio **evolves** according to a reduction semantics for contracts.
- 3. Allow the portfolio to be priced (i.e., valuated) at **any chosen point in time** (e.g., yesterday, now, or tomorrow).
- 4. Give the user **good performance** and loads of **features**...:)



# I: A Certified Contract Management Engine

LexiFi/SimCorp style **contract combinators** for specifying financial derivatives [1].

**Contract kernel** written in Coq, a functional language and proof assistant for establishing program properties (verified correctness wrt a cash-flow denotational semantics).

#### Certified management code

extracted from the Coq implementation (fixings, decisions).

**Valuation/pricing**: payoff functions extracted from contracts (input to stochastic pricing engine).

#### American Option contract in natural language:

At any time within the next 90 days,  $\Box$  party X may decide to buy USD 100 from party Y, for a fixed rate r=6.65 of Danish Kroner.

#### Specified in the contract language:

if obs(X exercises option) within 90 then 100 × (USD(Y $\rightarrow$ X) & 6.65 × DKK(X $\rightarrow$ Y))

else  $\varnothing$ 

[1] Patrick Bahr, Jost Berthold, and Martin Elsman. **Towards Certified Management of Financial Contracts**. In *Proceedings of the 26th Nordic Workshop on Programming Theory (NWPT'14)*. October, 2014.

[2] Patrick Bahr, Jost Berthold, and Martin Elsman. **Certified Symbolic Management of Financial Multi-Party Contracts**. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP'15)*. September, 2015.



# The Contract Language

Contracts can be analysed in a variety of ways

(find horizon, potential cash-flows, ...)

| Faaturas   | Assumptions   |                          |  |  |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| reatures.  |               | d                        | integer (specifies a number of days)           |  |
|  |               | р                        | ranges over parties (e.g., YOU, ME, X, Y)      |  |
| Compositionality   |               | а                        | assets (e.g., USD, DKK)                        |  |
| Contracts are time-relative $\Rightarrow$ compositionality | Expres        | ssions (exten            | ded expressions for reals and booleans)        |  |
|  |               | obs(l,d)                 | observe the value of I (a label) at time d     |  |
| Multi-party  |               | acc(f,d,e)               | accumulate function f over the previous d days |  |
| Possibility for specifying portfolios                      | Contracts (c) |                          |  |  |
|  |               | Ø                        | empty contract with no obligations             |  |
| Contract management  |               | $a(p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$ | p1 has to transfer one unit of a to p2         |  |
| Contracts can be managed (fixings, splits,)                |               | C1 & C2                  | conjunction of c1 and c2                       |  |
|  |               | e×c                      | multiply all obligations in c by e             |  |
| Contracts gradually reduce to the empty contract           |               | d↑c                      | shift c into the future by d days              |  |
| Contract utilities (symbolic)                              |               | let x = e in c           | bind today's value of e to x in c              |  |

if e within d then c1 else c2 behave as c1 when e becomes true

if e does not become true within d



### **Expressibility: More Contract Examples**

#### **Asian Option**

```
90 \uparrow if obs(X exercises option) within 0 then
100 × (USD(Y\rightarrowX) & (rate × DKK(X\rightarrowY)))
```

else Ø

where

```
rate = 1/30 \cdot \operatorname{acc}(\lambda r.r + \operatorname{obs}(FX USD/DKK), 30, 0)
```

**Notice:** the special acc-construct is used to compute an average rate.

Simple Credit Default Swap (CDS)

#### The bond:

Cbond = if obs(X defaults, 0) within 30 then  $\varnothing$ else 1000 × DKK(X $\rightarrow$ Y)

#### Insurance:

 $c_{cds} = (10 \times DKK(Y \rightarrow Z)) \&$ if obs(X defaults, 0) within 30 then  $900 \times DKK(Z \rightarrow Y)$ 

else  $\varnothing$ 

Entire Contract:

C = Cbond & Ccds





# **Benefits of the Formal Framework**

#### Some contract equivalences

|               |   |                     | d↑∅     | ~ | Ø       |
|---------------|---|---------------------|---------|---|---------|
| e1 × (e2 × c) | ~ | (e1 · e2) × c       | r × Ø   | ~ | Ø       |
| d1 ↑ (d2 ↑ c) | ~ | (d1 + d2) ↑ c       | 0 × c   | ~ | Ø       |
| d             | ~ | (d ↑ c1) & (d ↑ c2) | C & ∅ ≃ | С |         |
| e × (c1 & c2) | ~ | (e × c1) & (e × c2) | c1 & c2 | ~ | c2 & c1 |

#### With a netting semantics:

 $(e1 \times a(p1 \rightarrow p2)) \& (e2 \times a(p1 \rightarrow p2)) \simeq (e1 + e2) \times a(p1 \rightarrow p2)$ 

#### Other benefits:

- Type system for causality
- Correctness of contract evolution (reduction semantics)
- Calendar support using observables



# **II: A Parallel Pricing Engine**

**Parallelized** version of LexiFi pricing engine [2,3].

Code ported to **OpenCL**, targeting **GPGPU**s.

Extracted contract payoff function **fused** into OpenCL kernel.

Market data provided by framework.



[3] Cosmin Oancea, Jost Berthold, Martin Elsman, and Christian Andreetta. **A Financial Benchmark for GPGPU Compilation**. In *18th International Workshop on Compilers for Parallel Computing (CPC'15)*. January 2015.

[4] Cosmin E. Oancea, Christian Andreetta, Jost Berthold, Alain Frisch, and Fritz Henglein. **Financial software on GPUs: between Haskell and Fortran**. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM SIGPLAN workshop on Functional high-performance computing (FHPC '12)*. Copenhagen 2012.



### **Architecture overview**

The prototype architecture is **simple**, yet **flexible**.

3 (4) tier architecture:

- Front-end (web client)
- \_ { Web server Contract management
- GPU server



### **Architecture of current implementation**



14

### Database

A **simple** database schema for an extensible framework.

Basic entities (tables):

- user
- portfolio
- market data (db\_corr, db\_quotes)
- model data

Schema generated from Haskell's Persistent library, which explains the weird naming...



# **GUI Mockups**

- 1. An *instrument* maps instrumentspecific parameter data to contracts.
- 2. Available instruments include, a Call option and a Rainbow option.
- 3. A *portfolio* is a set of contracts (no strategies assigned).
- 4. Contracts are added by instantiating instruments with parameter data (e.g., start date, strike)
- 5. A portfolio and its contained contracts are priced based on a pricing date and an interest rate for discounting
- <sup>16</sup> (and for Black-Scholes drift).

| nstrume                                      | ents   | Call option -   |                   |  |   |                                 |   |
|--|--|---|-------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|
|  | u u  | Underlying  | Carlsberg         | Nom                                    | ninal 100   |                                 |   |
| Strike                                       |  |   | e 50 Currency DKK |  |   |                                 |   |
| Start date 1 /                               |  |   | Expiry //         |  |   |                                 |   |
|  | P  | ortfolio  | My options        | •                                      | Create  | contract                        |   |
|  |  |   |                   |  | 3.5 million - 1 |                                 |   |
|  |  |   | Calculate pri     | ce                                     |   |                                 | " |
|  | ב> × ۵ ₪   | ttp://  | Calculate pri     | ce                                     |   |                                 | • |
| Vominal                                      | Contract   | ttp://  | Calculate priv    | ce                                     | Calculat  | e price                         | • |
| Nominal<br>10.000                            | Contract<br>Call Option  | Start Date<br>2015-01-01  | Calculate pri     | ce<br>III                              | Calculat  | te price                        |   |
| Nominal<br>10.000<br>5.000                   | Contract<br>Call Option<br>Put Option                                  | ttp://<br>Start Date<br>2015-01-01<br>2015-02-01                | Calculate pri     |  | Calcula<br>Date   | le price                        |   |
| Nominal<br>10.000<br>5.000<br>1.000          | Contract<br>Call Option<br>Put Option<br>Rainbow Option                | Start Date<br>2015-01-01<br>2015-02-0<br>2015-02-0              | Calculate pri     |  | Calculat<br>Date<br>Model   | e price                         |   |
| Nominal<br>10.000<br>5.000<br>1.000<br>2.000 | Contract<br>Call Option<br>Put Option<br>Rainbow Option<br>Call Option | Start Date<br>2015-01-01<br>2015-02-0<br>2015-02-0<br>2015-02-0 | Calculate pri     | ······································ | Calculat<br>Date<br>Model<br>Interest r   | e price<br>/ /<br>BSc<br>ate 5% |   |

# prototype.hiperfit.dk

Prototype developed primarily by HIPERFIT PhD Student Danil Annenkov



### Implementation

- Available for public forking on github...
- Uses HIPERFIT's contract and finpar github repositories as sub-modules.
- Uses the GHC generics library for generating GUIs for instruments based on instrument parameter types.

- Uses the scotty web framework (based on WAI and Warp - fast Haskell web-server)
- Uses blaze-html eDSL for markup and Clay eDSL for CSS
- Uses Persistent library for typesafe database access



Fort me on Cithub

### Performance

Pricing itself is very fast, but compiling kernel code and running it takes quite a lot of time.

The web server is also quite fast and adds almost no overhead.



Number of iterations

| # of iterations | Overall (finpar) | Build + runtime | Actual runtime |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 100000          | 7.134            | 5.437685        | 0.001296       |
| 1000000         | 7.156            | 5.454617        | 0.001423       |
| 1000000         | 7.165            | 5.426234        | 0.003808       |



## **Prototype Future Work**

- Expand work on risk (Greeks, CVA, PFE).
- Formulate detailed student projects on visualization, simulation, ...
- Use *Futhark* as the basis for pricing and risk calculations [6-8].
- Interface with an online stock quote API.

[5] Troels Henriksen and Cosmin E. Oancea. **A T2 Graph-Reduction Approach To Fusion**. In *2nd ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Functional High-Performance Computing*. Boston, Massachusetts. September 2013.

[6] Troels Henriksen and Cosmin E. Oancea. **Bounds Checking: An Instance of Hybrid Analysis**. In ACM SIGPLAN *International Workshop on Libraries, Languages and Compilers for Array Programming (ARRAY'14)*. Edinburgh, UK. June, 2014.

[7] Troels Henriksen, Martin Elsman, and Cosmin E. Oancea. **Size Slicing - A Hybrid Approach to Size Inference in Futhark**. In *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM SIGPLAN workshop on Functional High-Performance Computing (FHPC'14)*. Gothenburg, SE. September, 2014.